ssm

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 2299 OF 2007
IN
APPEAL MEMO (L) NO. 507 OF 2007
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO. 777 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 1044 OF 2006

Sakshi Ideal Investments
and trading Pvt. Ltd. ...Appellant.
Vs.
Kotak Mahindra Capital Co. Ltd. ...Respondent.

Mr. A.A.Mirza for the appellant.
Mr. Sandeep Parikh with Mr. Prashant Beri i/by M/s. BERI & Co. for respondent.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.
DATED : 22ND NOVEMBER, 2007

P.C.

. Heard the learned counsel for the parties. Perused the Notice of Motion and affidavit in support thereof. By this Notice of Motion, the appellant is seeking condonation of 70 days delay in filing the above appeal. For the reasons stated therein, sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay in filing the above appeal. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the appellant. Hence, the Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.) **(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)**